## "Nebraska and Slavery," Buffalo (NY) *Daily Republic*, July 24, 1856 https://stampedes.dickinson.edu/document/buffalo-ny-daily-republic-nebraska-and-slavery-july-24-1856

## Nebraska and Slavery.

James Redpath, of Kansas, writes from Topeka, under date of the 7th, and sends an important communication to the Chicago Tribune. He says:

The important communication subjoined was handed to me this morning by a gentleman direct from Nebraska city. I will go there immediately and investigate its statements:

NEBRASKA CITY, June 28.

Dear Sir: So far, in the discussion of the question and prospects of the extension of slavery into the territories, the people of the north have passed by Nebraska to Kansas, the immediate victim. They have supposed that Nebraska was safe for freedom, let the result of the contest in her sister territory be what it might. But, if Kansas falls, all is lost; Nebraska will become an easy conquest to the slave power.

In proof of this assertion satisfactory proof is not wanting.

Of the four newspapers in Nebraska, not one has ever dared to utter a single word in favor of freedom, either there or in Kansas; but, on the contrary, have always opposed the free-state men in the latter, as bitterly as Stringfellow's Squatter Sovereign, or any of the border presses. The outrage on Senator Sumner they pass silently by, or in congratulation of chivalric Brooks.

Gov. Izzard, and most of the other appointees of the President, are warmly in favor of the introduction of slavery. Until the commencement of immigration the present spring—since which time new settlers have been of a more northern character than previously—the majority of the people of the territory, especially of the portion of it lying south of the Platteriver, were in favor of ignoring freedom, if not directly establishing slavery.

By ignoring freedom is understood the policy which the slavery propagandists have deemed best to pursue in that territory—that of branding as treason every attempt to make it free, and finally establishing a constitution entirely silent on the question. The legislature, it is claimed, could easily regulate that matter; but that body would also adopt the ignoring principle, until the stampede of a few slaves, aided by whites, would make it necessary to directly acknowledge its existence, by providing for the punishment of persons giving such aid. Slavery already exists here, and in so thinly a pop-

ulated a country, with no laws, as yet, to punish the enticing away of slaves, it is necessary to treat them kindly, and northern men—especially doughfaces—are prompted to this good treatment, and told that such is universal at the south—that slavery is not half so bad as they are accustomed to suppose it. More slaves are on their way there; and it is asked of the ignorant of all sections, what is to be done with the slaves of the territory when a constitution is formed? For nearly all the southern people, and many from the north, would much prefer seeing slavery legalized, to having a few free negroes about them.

Nearly all the towns are owned by pro-slavery men, who give employment to a great many of the lowest class of citizens, and on election days put tickets into their hands, and send them to the polls like sheep to the shambles

The Methodist Episcopal Church is in as bad repute there as in Missouri. Every man who dares to express any sympathy with Kansas, is loaded with every supposed disgraceful epithet imaginable; concentrated efforts are being made to ruin the business of anti-slavery men, and threats of mobbing are not unfrequent. The pro-slavery party manifested great joy on learning the sack of Lawrence, and arrest of Robinson, Brown and other prominent free state men, and many have been made to express a desire to culist in the service of the Missouri clans.

So great is the prejudice against the anti-slavery men, that many of the prominent ones hardly consider their lives as safe. They place no confidence in the courts, for murders find in them protection, not punishment; their only confidence is in the determination of their friends to revenge the wrongs which any of their number may receive on political accounts.

And besides these inadvantages to freedom in the territory, as great ones are in readiness to be brought from without, as in the case of Kansas shortly before the election last fall

In a conversation between Judge Bradford, one of the proprietors of Nebraska City, and Gen. Whitfield, the latter offered to take up an army sufficiently large to carry the election for the pro-slavery ticket. He said he was able to do so, and would if they required it; and the border-ruffians were determined to make Nebraska a slave state also. As that party were confident of electing their men, the requisition was never made; what course would have been pursued had that party been in a minority, can only be left to supposition.

A train of emigrants which passed through Nebraska on their way to Kansas, by adopting a ruse, found many who have heretofore professed to be rather partial towards the institutions of the free states, to be, in reality, strongly in favor of the establishment of the peculiar institution.

One addressed a man of considerable influence in the southern part of the territory, something like this: "I tell you what, friend, I're got sick of trying to farm it in the north; they won't allow a fellow to hold slaves, or let him treat a white laborer otherwise than as an equal; I want to go where I can drive things; I believe that Kansas will be a slave state—that our glorious democratic party will make it one, and I'm going there."

'Oh," replied the gentlemen addressed, "you need have no fear of stopping in Nebraska, if that is your politics, for there is a strong determination here to make Nebraska a slave state, and I've no kind of doubt but that we shall tri-

umphantly succeed."

Any careful observer will see that this disposition among influential men is far from being uncommon.

J. H. Kare,

From Trumbull Co., Western Reserve.

P. S. Judge Bradford made the statement of his conversation with Gen. Whitfield, in the office of the Nebraska City News, on the evening previous to the last election for delegate to congress. I was in the office at the time, and overheard him. I have resided in Nebraska City a year.

J. H. K.